

A Lesson In Low-Defect Software

- or -

A Journey From A Quick Hack To A High-Reliability Database Engine

and how you can use the same techniques to reduce the number of bugs in your own software projects

> D. Richard Hipp 2009-03-10



Public domain

Serverless

Small footprint

Embedded

Transactional

Single-file Database

ACID

SQL Database Engine

Robust

Stable, cross-platform file format

Zero-administration

Efficient

Easy to integrate

SQL? What's That?

- "Structured Query Langauge"
- A high-level language for interacting with databases
- The most widely known programming language in the world.

Why SQLite?























- Serverless
- Zero-administration
- Portable file format
- Small footprint
- Public domain



- Serverless
- Zero-administration
- Portable file format
- Small footprint
- Public domain





Advantages of Serverless

- No background server process
- No configuration files
- No IPC
- No security issues
- Nothing to start, shutdown, or reboot
- Nothing to go wrong or need tending to

Advantages of Serverless

- No background server process
- No configuration files
- No IPC
- No security issues
- Nothing to start, shutdown, or reboot
- Nothing to go wrong or need tending to

"Zero-administration"



- Serverless
- Zero-administration
- Portable file format
- Small footprint
- Public domain



Database Administrators











Another way to think of SQLite in relation to traditional SQL database engines....









is to

as



is to



SQLite does not compete with Oracle

- SQLite does not compete with Oracle
- SQLite competes with fopen()



- Serverless
- Zero-administration
- Portable file format
- Small footprint
- Public domain

Portable File Format

- A database is a single ordinary disk file
- No special naming conventions or required file suffixes
- Cross-platform: big/little-endian and 32/64-bit
- Backwards compatible through 3.0.0
- Promise to keep it compatible moving forward
- Not tied to any particular programming language.





- Serverless
- Zero-administration
- Portable file format
- Small footprint
- Public domain

Small Footprint

gcc -Os -DSQLITE_THREADSAFE=0

272 KiB

gcc -O3 -DSQLITE_ENABLE_FTS3=1 -DSQLITE_ENABLE_RTREE=1

789 KiB

Single Source Code File

- The "amalgamation" source code file: sqlite3.c
- About 60,000 lines of ANSI C code
- 3.5 MB
- No other library dependencies on than standard library routines:

- memcpy(), memset(), malloc(), free(), etc

• Very simple to add to a larger C program

drh@elly:~/fossil/m1/src> ls				
add₊c	content.c	makeheaders.html	schema₊c	timeline.c
admin₊c	db₊c	makemake.tcl	setup₊c	tkt₊c
allrepo₊c	delta.c	manifest₊c	setup.c.bu1	tktsetup.c
bag₊c	deltacmd₊c	md5₊c	sha1.c	translate.c
blob₊c	descendants.c	merge3.c	shun.c	undo₊c
branch₊c	diff₊c	merge.c	sqlite3.c	update₊c
browse.c	diffcmd₊c	mkindex.c	sqlite3.h	url₊c
cgi.c	doc.c	my_page₊c	stat.c	user _t c
checkin.c	encode₊c	name.c	style₊c	verify₊c
checkout.c	file.c	pivot.c	sync₊c	VERSION
clearsign₊c	http₊c	pqueue.c	tag₊c	vfile.c
clone.c	info.c	printf.c	tagview₊c	wiki.c
comformat.c	login.c	rebuild.c	th₊c	wikiformat.c
∣config.h	main.c	report.c	th₊h	winhttp₊c
configure.c	main.mk	rss.c	th_lang.c	xfer₊c
construct.c	makeheaders.c	rstats.c	th_main₊c	zip₊c
drh@elly:"/fossil/m1/src> [



- Serverless
- Zero-administration
- Portable file format
- Small footprint
- Public domain



Other Features Of SQLite

- App-defined functions
- App-defined collating sequences
- UTF8 or UTF16
- Robust against power loss
- Robust against malloc() failures

- Full text search
 - R-Trees
 - ATTACH DATABASE
 - Gigibyte size BLOBs and strings
 - Robust against I/O errors
 - Zero-malloc option

Adobe Photoshop Lightroom



Adobe Reader


Mozilla Firefox





Google Android



iPhone



iPod & iTunes



iStuff



Blackberry



Palm webOS



Skype



Sony Playstation



... and so forth







Various Programming Languages





ActiveState

The Dynamic Languages Company









SQLite.org

The Company







- Guarantees of project continuity
- Enterprise-level technical support
- Highest priority bug fixes
- Community Outreach



symbian



mozilla Bloomberg

Keep It Reliable And Bug-Free!

- Guarantees of project continuity
 - Enterprise-level technical support
 - Highest priority bug fixes
 - Community Outreach

The SQLite Journey

- SQLite 1.0 started out as a quick hack
 - To solve a single problem in a single application
 - Used only in a tightly controlled environment
- It has evolved into highly reliable and low-defect software
 - The most widely used SQL database engine in the world
 - Hundreds of millions of deployments
 - Any defect has huge impact
- How did we achieve this?

First, some terminology:

Safety ≠ Reliability

Reliability: no failures

Safety: no harm

Volvo: Safe but not Reliable



Nitroglycerin: Reliable but not Safe



Safety ≠ Reliability

Safe _≅ Reliable Software Software

Safe = Extremely Software Software

Safe Programming Languages?

Python



Microsoft[®]



/a™

Safe Programming Languages?

- Prevent buffer overruns
- Help prevent memory leaks
- Trap exceptions

Safe Programming Languages?

- Prevent buffer overruns
- Help prevent memory leaks
- Trap exceptions

But....

• They can still get the wrong answer



Less likely to have a zero-day exploit

What Programming Languages Does The World's Most Reliable Software Use?

What Programming Languages Does The World's Most Reliable Software Use?

Hint: The answer is <u>not</u> any of the following:



Space Shuttle: HAL/S



Avionics: Ada or C



DO-178B and ED-12B

- It's the development process not the programming language that counts.
- Captures best practices
- Required for safety-critical software by:





DO-178B and ED-12B

- 21 "outputs" (mostly reports)
- 66 "objectives"



Planning Documents

- Plan for Software Aspects of Certification
- Software Development Plan
- Software Verification Plan
- Software Configuration Management Plan
- Software Quality Assurance Plan
- Software Requirements Standards
- Software Design Standards
- Software Coding Standards

Verification Documents

- Software Verifications Cases & Procedures
- Software Verification Results
- Software Configuration Management Records
- Software Configuration Index
- Bug Reports
- Software Quality Assurance Records
- Software Conformity Review
- Software Accomplishment Summary
Requirements Stack



4 Sample Objectives out of 66

- High-level requirements comply with system requirements (with independence)
- High-level requirement algorithms are accurate (with independence)
- Source code it traceable to low-level requirements
- Modified Condition/Decision test coverage is achieved (with independence)

End result of DO-178B/ED-12B....

Software that has very few defects

End result of DO-178B/ED-12B....

Software that has very few defects

Also...

- Expensive software
- Software that takes a long time to bring to market
- Boring software

The Essence of DO-178B

- Use your whole brain
- Full coverage testing
- Good configuration management

3 Steps Toward Low-Defect Code

- Use your whole brain
- Full coverage testing
- Good configuration management

A Steps Toward Low-Defect Code

- Use your whole brain
- Full coverage testing
- Good configuration management
- Don't just fix bugs fix your process

Not in DO-178B, but ought to be

4 Steps Toward Low-Defect Code

• Use your whole brain



- Full coverage testing
- Good configuration management
- Don't just fix bugs fix your process

Use Your Whole Brain



Use Your Whole Brain



Why Put Comments In Code?

- 1) Make the code easier to read
- 2) Engage the linguistic side of your brain

Code without Comments?

- Only uses have your brain.
- In English we call this being a "half-wit".



Why Put Comments In Code?

1) Make the code easier to read

2) Engage the linguistic side of your brain

Catch and fix code defects early



Hey, Carl, can you look at this problem with me. I've been working on this for hours. You see the X variable clearly cannot be less than zero because Y has to be more than 20.... Oh wait. That's not right. OK, I've got it now. Thanks, Carl!

Why Put Comments In Code?

- 1) Make the code easier to read
- 2) Engage the linguistic side of your brain

Common Fallacy:

"Well-written code needs no comments"

- Ignores reason (2) for writing comments
- No code is ever that well written

What To Comment

- Each function or procedure and major code blocks
 - Explain what it computes, not how it works
 - Preconditions, postconditions, invariants
- Each variable, constant, and type declaration
 - Explain what the variable or type represents
 - Constraints
- Comments stand in for low-level requirements
- Be succinct avoid fancy formatting and boilerplate

Mother-tongue Or English?

- English-language comments are best for readability.
- Mother-tongue comments are best for catching bugs.
- Why not do both?







Code :: Comment 53813 :: 27695

* As of 2009-03-03 18:20 EST



Code :: Comment 2 :: 1

Express Ideas In Different Ways

stmt ::= ALTER TABLE fullname RENAME TO id.
stmt ::= ALTER TABLE fullname ADD column_opt column_def.



Use Multiple Brains

- Structured Walk-Throughs & Inspections
 - Finds errors that a single programmer will miss
 - Keeps the code uniform
 - Helps entire team stay up-to-date
 - Training for junior team members
 - Only works if down well

Use Multiple Brains

- Pair Programming
 - Two people working together on the same workstation
 - One person works the keyboard
 - The other person reads and checks for mistakes
 - Builds a sense of community ownership
 - Promotes uniformity of coding and commenting style
 - Requires that programmers be colocated
 - Requires interpersonal skills (which many programmers lack)

Use Multiple Brains

- Open-Source
 - Encourage volunteer code reviewers
 - It helps if your code has good (English) comments!
 - In practice, very few bugs are ever found this way

000	SQLite CVST	rac				e
	tp://www.sqlite.org/cvstrac/t	ktview?tn=3699	9 🏠	• G• Go	oogle	9
News * Projects * Biz * Jesus * Memory	•					
SQLite				Small. Fasi	t. Reliab	ole.
				Choose	any thre	ee.
ABOUT SITEMAP DOCUMENTA	TION DOWNLOAD	LICENSE	News D	EVELOPERS	SUPPOR	εT
sglite - Ticket #3699	[Bro	wse] [Hom	e] [Logout	t] [Milestone	e] [Repo	orts]
Logged in as drh		[Search] [S	Setup] [Tio	<u>ket] [Timeli</u>	ine] [Us	ers]
]	Attach] [Edi	t] [Hi s ₩	<u>Rhèi</u> t
Fieldet 2600, Clarify	novomotov de			for		
licket 3699: Clarity	parameter do	ocumer	itation	TOF		
pager_playback_one	e_page in pag	ger.c				
pager_playback_one	e_page in page	ger.c				
at line 1470 the parameter p	•_page in page	ger.c	*/			
at line 1470 the parameter p	e_page in pag Offset is documentate /* Offset of record	Jer.C ed to playback	*/			
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin	e_page in page Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following	ger.c ed to playback kt page in th	*/ he journal,	, a clearer		
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset,	E_page in pag Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the next g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of next	ger.C ed to playback kt page in th cord to play t page in jo	*/ he journal, yback */ ournal */	, a clearer		
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks:	e_page in pag Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex	ger.c ed to playback kt page in th cord to play t page in jo	*/ he journal, yback */ ournal */	, a clearer	d remar	rks]
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks: Properties:	e_page in pag Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex	ger.c ed to playback xt page in tl cord to play t page in jo	*/ he journal, yback */ purnal */	, a clearer	ld remar	rks]
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks: Properties: Type: doc	e_page in pag Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex	ger.C ed to playback kt page in th cord to play t page in jo	*/ he journal , yback */ purnal */	, a clearer [Ad	ld remar	rks]
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks: Properties: Type: doc Status: active	Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex Vers Creat	Jer.C ed to playback kt page in th cord to play t page in jo ion: 1.570 ted: 2009-1	*/ he journal, yback */ purnal */ Mar-03 1	, a clearer [Ad	l <u>d remar</u>	rks]
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks: Properties: Type: doc Status: active Severity: 5	e_page in pag Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex Vers Creat Last Char	ger.C ed to playback at page in th cord to play t page in jo ion: 1.570 ted: 2009-1 age: 2009-1	*/ he journal, yback */ ournal */ Mar-03 19 Mar-03 19	, a clearer [Ad 9:28 9:28	l <u>d remar</u>	rks]
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks: Properties: Type: doc Status: active Severity: 5 Priority: 4	e_page in pag Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex Vers Creat Last Char Subsyst	der.C ed to playback at page in the cord to play t page in journe to cord to play t page in the cord to play t page in the cord to play t page in journe to cord to play t page in journe to cord to play t page in journe to cord to play t page in journe t page in the cord to play t page in journe t pag	*/ he journal, yback */ ournal */ Mar-03 19 Mar-03 19	, a clearer [Ad 9:28 9:28	ld remar	rks]
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks: Properties: Type: doc Status: active Severity: 5 Priority: 4 Assigned To:	Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex Vers Creat Last Char Subsyste Derived Fre	ger.C ed to playback kt page in th cord to play t page in jo ion: 1.570 ted: 2009-1 nge: 2009-1 em: back om:	*/ he journal, yback */ purnal */ Mar-03 19 Mar-03 19	, a clearer [Ad 9:28 9:28	l <u>d remar</u>	rks]
at line 1470 the parameter p i64 *pOffset, Since this value is increased comment would be somethin i64 *pOffset, Remarks: Properties: Type: doc Status: doc Status: 5 Priority: 5 Priority: 4 Assigned To: Creator: anonyme	Offset is documentate /* Offset of record to the start of the nex g like the following /* IN: Offset of re /* OUT: Start of nex Vers Creat Last Char Subsyste Derived Fre Cont	def control playback ad to playback at page in the cord to play t page in journame ion: 1.570 ted: 2009-1 age: 2009-1 em: back om: act:	*/ he journal, yback */ ournal */ Mar-03 19 Mar-03 19	, a clearer [Ad 9:28 9:28	l <u>d remar</u>	rks]

4 Steps Toward Low-Defect Code

- Use your whole brain
- Full coverage testing
- Good configuration management
- Don't just fix bugs fix your process

Full Coverage Testing

- Automated tests that exercise <u>all</u> features of the program
 - All entry points
 - All subroutines
 - All branches and conditions
 - All cases
 - All boundary values
- The single best way to find bugs
- DO-178B places special emphasis on testing

If it has not been tested then it does not work.

Fly what you test and test what you fly.

Statement Coverage:

Tests cause every line of code to run at least once.

Branch Coverage:

Tests cause every machine-language branch operation to evaluate to both TRUE and FALSE at least once.

```
int exampleFunction(int a, int b){
    int ans = 0;
    if( a>b && a<2*b ){
        ans = a;
    }else{
        ans = b;
    }
    return ans;
}</pre>
```

```
int exampleFunction(int a, int b){
    int ans = 0;
    if( a>b && a<2*b ){
        ans = a;
    }else{
        ans = b;
    }
    return ans;
}</pre>
```

Test 1: exampleFunction(1,1)

5 out of 6 statements: 83.33% statement coverage

```
int exampleFunction(int a, int b){
    int ans = 0;
    if( a>b && a<2*b ){
        ans = a;
    }else{
        ans = b;
    }
    return ans;
}</pre>
```

- Test 1: exampleFunction(1,1)
- Test 2: exampleFunction(3,2)

6 out of 6 statements: 100% statement coverage

```
int exampleFunction(int a, int b){
    int ans = 0;
    if( a>b && a<2*b ){
        ans = a;
    }else{
        ans = b;
    }
    return ans;
}</pre>
```

- Test 1: exampleFunction(1,1)
- Test 2: exampleFunction(3,2)

Branches:

a>b	Taken on test 2
!(a>b)	Taken on test 1
a<2*b	Taken on test 2
!(a<2*b)	Never taken

3 out of 4 branches: 75% branch coverage

```
int exampleFunction(int a, int b){
    int ans = 0;
    if( a>b && a<2*b ){
        ans = a;
    }else{
        ans = b;
    }
    return ans;
}</pre>
```

- Test 1: exampleFunction(1,1)
- Test 2: exampleFunction(3,2)
- Test 3: exampleFunction(4,2)

Branches:

a>b	Taken on test 2
!(a>b)	Taken on test 1
a<2*b	Taken on test 2
!(a<2*b)	Taken on test 3

Measuring Statement Coverage

gcc -g -fprofile-arcs -ftest-coverage ./a.out gcov -c ex1.c cat ex1.c.gcov
Measuring Statement Coverage



Number of times this line was evaluated

Measuring Statement Coverage



Number of times this line was evaluated

```
gcc -g -fprofile-arcs -ftest-coverage
./a.out
gcov -b -c ex1.c
cat ex11c.gcov
```



```
2: 1:int exampleFunction(int a, int b){
       2: 2: int ans = 0;
       2: 3: if( a>b && a<2*b ){
       0 taken 1 (fallthrough)
branch
branch 1 taken 1
branch 2 taken 1 (fallthrough)
       3 taken 0
branch
                                 Branch never taken
       1:
            4:
                   ans = a:
            5: }else{
       -:
       1: 6:
                   ans = b;
       -: 7:
                 }
                                     Tests 1 & 2
       2: 8: return ans;
       -: 9:}
```

```
3: 1:int exampleFunction(int a, int b){
       3: 2: int ans = 0;
       3: 3: if( a>b && a<2*b ){
       0 taken 2 (fallthrough)
branch
                                        All branches taken
branch 1 taken 1
                                        at least once:
branch 2 taken 1 (fallthrough)
                                        100% coverage!
       3 taken 1
branch
       1:
             4:
                    ans = a:
             5: }else{
       -:
       2: 6:
                    ans = b;
       -: 7:
                 }
                                       Tests 1, 2, & 3
       3: 8: return ans;
       -: 9:}
```

Fly what you test!

- Compile for coverage testing
- Run tests
- Verify correct result
- Recompile as delivered
- Rerun tests
- Verify same results as before



Fly what you test!

- Compile for coverage testing
- Run tests
- Verify correct result
- Recompile as delivered
- Rerun tests
- Verify same results as before
- Measuring coverage validates your tests, not your product



What you fly Validates your product



Defensive Programming



Defensive Programming

```
void *sqlite3InternalMalloc(int nBytes){
    if( nBytes<=0 || NEVER(nBytes>=0x7fffff00) ){
        return 0;
    }else{
        return sqlite3LowLevelMalloc(nBytes);
    }
}
    •NEVER() macro around conditions that are always FALSE
    •ALWAYS() macro around conditions that are always TRUE
```

ALWAYS() and NEVER()

#if defined(SQLITE_COVERAGE_TEST)
define ALWAYS(X) 1
define NEVER(X) 0

#elif defined(SQLITE_DEBUG)
define ALWAYS(X) ((X)?1:sqlite3Panic())
define NEVER(X) ((X)?sqlite3Panic():0)

(X)

(X)

#else

define ALWAYS(X)
define NEVER(X)
#endif

What you fly: ALWAYS and NEVER are pass-throughs.

ALWAYS() and NEVER()



#endif

ALWAYS() and NEVER()

#if defined(SQLITE_COVERAGE_TEST)
define ALWAYS(X) 1
define NEVER(X) 0

#elif defined(SQLITE_DEBUG)
define ALWAYS(X) ((X)?1:sqlite3Panic())
define NEVER(X) ((X)?sqlite3Panic():0)
#else
define ALWAYS(X) (X)
define NEVER(X) (X)
define NEVER(X) (X)

During development: Panic if ALWAYS() is false or if NEVER() is true.

Testing In SQLite

- 99% Statement Coverage
- 95% Branch Coverage
- Goal: 100% branch coverage by Dec 2009
- Striving for 100% test coverage has been our most effective method for finding bugs.

Testing In SQLite

- "testfixture"
 - Written in C + TCL
 - Approximately 1 million test cases
- "th3"
 - Pure C code (for embedded platforms)
 - Approximately 2.3 million test cases
- "sqllogictest"
 - Compare SQLite against MySQL, PostgreSQL, etc
 - Approximately 5.8 million test cases

Tcl/Tk in Google Summer of Code slides available at <u>http://purl.org/NET/gsoc2009</u>

- Google Summer of Code (GSoC)

 Google pay 4500USD each qualified student for coding for 12 weeks for approved open source project.
- Tcl/Tk dynamic (scripting) language also known as "The C Library" (high quality C source code) <u>http://www.tcl.tk</u> (official) or <u>http://tkosiak.blogspot.com</u> (po polsku).
- D. Richard Hipp is Tcl Core Team Member "Emeriti" ③
- 9 / 9 students successfully completed GSoC 2008 and get paid with Tcl/Tk community (note PHP also have 9 slots in GSoC 2008)



Why to apply to Tcl/Tk GSoC?

- Tcl is easy to learn but very productive language. Used in GCC/GDB, SQLite and Cisco, Intel, Mentor, IBM, Motorola ...
- Tcl/Tk GSoC is about coding in C and/or Tcl and is not crowded with students applications.
- 4/9 Tcl/Tk GSoC 2008 students were from Poland !!! (please contact them about it: <u>ania.pawelczyk@gmail.com</u>, <u>blicharski@gmail.com</u>, <u>daniel.m.hans@gmail.com</u>, <u>lrem@go2.pl</u>)
- Tcl Community is known to be extremely friendly. In Poland you have local Polish speaking experts willing to help:
 - Tomasz Kosiak <u>http://tkosiak.blogspot.com</u> or <u>http://wiki.tcl.tk/17873</u>
 - Wojciech Kocjan <u>http://kocjan.org or http://wiki.tcl.tk/3684</u>
 - Paweł Salawa <u>http://sqlitestudio.one.pl or http://wiki.tcl.tk/12959</u>
- For more details or help contact Tomasz Kosiak (<u>tkosiak@gmail.com</u> /+48 503 021 130) who helps to organize Tcl/Tk GSoC.



Testing In SQLite

- "testfixture"
 - Written in C + TCL
 - Approximately 1 million test cases
- "th3"
 - Pure C code (for embedded platforms)
 - Approximately 2.3 million test cases
- "sqllogictest"
 - Compare SQLite against MySQL, PostgreSQL, etc
 - Approximately 5.8 million test cases



Code :: Test Data 1 :: 716

Testing In SQLite

- Crash testing
 - Simulate recovery from power loss
- I/O Error and Out-of-memory testing
 - Recovery from system errors.
- "fuzz" testing
 - Test response to random inputs
- valgrind

Fuzz Testing

SELECT NOT -2147483647 IN (SELECT DISTINCT 2147483649 FROM (SELECT DISTINCT EXISTS (SELECT ALL 'injection' FROM (SELECT DISTINCT 1, 'experiments', 0) UNION ALL SELECT DISTINCT NULL) NOT IN (SELECT EXISTS (SELECT ALL 'fault') IN (SELECT DISTINCT 'The') IN (SELECT DISTINCT 0 ORDER BY 'experiments' ASC, -2147483649 DESC)) IN (SELECT EXISTS (SELECT 'experiments') FROM (SELECT DISTINCT NULL, -2147483647)) IN (SELECT EXISTS (SELECT DISTINCT 56.1 ORDER BY -456 ASC) ORDER BY (SELECT 'first') DESC), CAST((SELECT (SELECT 0) IN (SELECT DISTINCT 56.1 ORDER BY -456 ASC) ORDER BY (SELECT 'first') DESC), CAST((SELECT (SELECT 0) IN (SELECT DISTINCT 'injection') IN (SELECT ALL 'The') NOT IN (SELECT DISTINCT 'first' ORDER BY 2147483648 LIMIT 123456789.1234567899 OFFSET 2147483648)) AS blob) FROM (SELECT 'The', -1 UNION ALL SELECT 456, CAST(56.1 AS text) ORDER BY CAST(-2147483649 AS real) ASC)))

Valgrind Home Valgrind Home Image: Constraint of the state of

Information

About News Tool Suite Supported Platforms The Developers

Source Code

Current Releases Release Archive Front Ends / GUIs Variants / Patches Code Repository

Documentation

Table of Contents Quick Start FAQ User Manual Download Manual Research Papers Books

Contact

Mailing Lists Bug Reports Feature Requests Contact Summary Commercial Support

How to Help

Contributing Project Suggestions

Gallery

Projects / Users Press / Media Awards Surveys Artwork



Valgrind is an <u>award-winning</u> instrumentation framework for building dynamic analysis tools. There are Valgrind tools that can automatically detect many memory management and threading bugs, and profile your programs in detail. You can also use Valgrind to build new tools.

aper 6

The Valgrind distribution currently includes six production-quality tools: a memory error detector, two thread error detectors, a cache and branch-prediction profiler, a call-graph generating cache profiler, and a heap profiler. It also includes one experimental tool, which detects out of bounds reads and writes of stack, global and heap arrays. It runs on the following platforms: X86/Linux, AMD64/Linux, PPC32/Linux, PPC64/Linux.

Valgrind is <u>Open Source</u> / <u>Free Software</u>, and is freely available under the <u>GNU General</u> <u>Public License</u>, version 2.

Recent News

- June 17, 2008: We have a new books page.
- 28 February 2009: valgrind-3.4.1, for x86/Linux, AMD64/Linux, PPC32/Linux and PPC64/Linux, is available. (release notes).

Done

Testing In SQLite

- Most bugs are found internally before release
- External bugs are mostly build problems
- We do not do "alpha" or "beta" releases

- All releases are production ready

Very, very few "wrong answers" found by users

4 Steps Toward Low-Defect Code

- Use your whole brain
- Full coverage testing
- Good configuration management
- Don't just fix bugs fix your process

- Identification
- Access Control
- Archival Storage
- Reporting and Auditing
- Collaboration
- Defect Tracking

- Identification
- Access Control
- Archival Storage
- Reporting and Auditing
- Collaboration
- Defect Tracking

Version Control System

CVS, Subversion, Git, Mercurial, Monotone, Fossil, Bitkeeper, Perforce, ClearCase

- Identification
- Access Control
- Archival Storage
- Reporting and Auditing
- Collaboration
- Defect Tracking

Various ad hoc add-ons.

- Identification
- Access Control
- Archival Storage
- Reporting and Auditing
- Collaboration
- Defect Tracking

Very, very important yet commonly ignored!

- Identification
- Access Control
- Archival Storage
- Collaboration
- Defect Tracking

Situational Awareness

- Understanding what is happening around you
- Recognizing how events and your own actions will impact goals and objectives
- Lack of situational awareness is the main cause of human-error accidents
- Important in work domains where information flow is high and poor decisions may have serious consequences



Situational Awareness in CM

- What has changed last N days?
- What has changed between release X and Y?
- What changed in module M between dates P and Q?
- Who made the changes and why?
- When and why was line of code *W* inserted?
- When and why was subroutine *U* last modified?
- What bugs are still outstanding?
- What changes were made to address bug Z?

Open-Source Reporting Systems

- CVSTrac
 - http://www.cvstrac.org/
 - CVS, Subversion, GIT
- Trac
 - http://trac.edgewall.org/
 - Subversion, GIT, Perforce, Mercurial, Darcs, Bazaar
- Fossil
 - http://www.fossil-scm.org/
 - Distributed version control with reporting built in

Open-Source Reporting Systems

- CVSTrac
 - http://www.cvstrac.org/
 - CVS, Subversion, GIT
- Trac
 - http://trac.edgewall.org/
 - Subversion, GIT, Perforce, Mercurial, Darcs, Bazaar
- Fossil
 - http://www.fossil-scm.org/
 - Distributed version control with reporting built in

Used by SQLite <u>Essential</u> to the success of SQLite New projects should consider newer

systems

SQLite								
ABOUT	SITEMAP	DOCUMENTATION	DOWNLOAD	LICENSE	NEWS	DEVELOPERS	SUPPORT	
sqlite - Timeline [Browse] [Home] [Logout] [Milestone] [Reports]								
Logged in as	arn			locan			[RSS]	
Tuesday, 2009-Mar-03								
04:45 >	Unassign ti	cket #3698 from	paul. (By dar	nielk1977)				
04:45 \checkmark Closed ticket #3698, was active. (By danielk1977)								
04:43 >	Changes to	ticket #3698 (B	y danielk1977	7)				
Monday, 2	009-Mar-02							
22:17 »	22:17 » Create ticket #3698: error to create more the one table (By anonymous)							
17:18	compiler warnings. (By shane)							
14:24 •	Check-in [6333] : Fix the SQLITE_ENABLE_UPDATE_DELETE_LIMIT option for the							
	testing. (By	ompression logic / drh)	or check-in	<u>5305</u>]. Bug	g aiscove	rea auring regi	ression	
03:40 >	Changes to	ticket #3689 (B	y shane)					
01:22 •	Check-in [6 by check-in	<u>5332]</u> : Fix a bug	in the GROUI	P BY alias n ssion test o	ame reso n 64-hit	lution. The bu linux. Test case	g was	
	added so th	hat the problems	is detected o	n 32-bit sys	stems. (E	By drh)		
Sunday, 2009-Mar-01								
22:29 🗸	Fixed ticket	# 3696 , was act	ive. Plus othe	r changes.	(By drh)			
22:29 •	Check-in [6 #3696 (By	331] : Suppress	some compile	er warnings	s (where	possible). Tick	et	
19:42 •	Check-in [6	<u>330]</u> : Fix a criti	cal bug in the	VDBE opco	ode array	resizer introdu	uced by	
	check-in [6	307] . Bug detec	ted by regres	sion testing). (By drl	ו)	Ŧ	
Check-in Number: 6331 Date: 2009-Mar-01 22:29:20 (local) 2009-Mar-01 22:29:20 (UTC) User: drh Branch: Comment: Suppress some compiler warnings (where possible). Ticket #3696. (edit) Tickets: #3696 compile time warning, solaris Inspections: Files: sqlite/src/os_unix.c 1.241 -> 1.242 4 inserted, 2 deleted sqlite/src/util.c 1.248 -> 1.249 5 inserted, 5 deleted sqlite/src/os_unix.c 1.241 -> 1.242 --- os unix.c 2009/02/09 17:34:07 1.241 +++ os unix.c 2009/03/01 22:29:20 1.242 00 -43,7 +43,7 00 * Definitions of sqlite3 vfs objects for all locking methods ** ** plus implementations of sqlite3_os_init() and sqlite3_os_end(). ** -** \$Id: os unix.c,v 1.241 2009/02/09 17:34:07 drh Exp \$ +** \$Id: os unix.c,v 1.242 2009/03/01 22:29:20 drh Exp \$ */ #include "sqliteInt.h" /* This file is used on unix only */ #if SQLITE OS UNIX 00 -3984,16 +3984,18 00 sp.tv sec = microseconds / 1000000; sp.tv nsec = (microseconds % 1000000) * 1000; nanosleep(&sp, NULL); + UNUSED PARAMETER(NotUsed); return microseconds; #elif defined(HAVE USLEEP) && HAVE USLEEP usleep(microseconds); + UNUSED PARAMETER(NotUsed); return microseconds; #else int seconds = (microseconds+999999)/1000000; sleep(seconds); + UNUSED PARAMETER(NotUsed); return seconds*1000000; #endif UNUSED PARAMETER(NotUsed); } /* 4 sqlite/src/util.c 1.248 -> 1.249 ÷



Are you already using a version control system?

Good! Be sure to add reporting and auditing software. Maintain situational awareness!

Are you not currently using a version control?

- Go to http://www.fossil-scm.org/
- Download a pre-compiled binary for <u>fossil</u>
- Start using it!



Fossil: Timeline	\supset
() C (C Coogle Q)	
News * Projects * Biz * Jesus * Memory *	
Fossil Timeline	ſ
Home Files Leaves Timeline Branches Tags Tickets Wiki Admin Logout	
200 Events Checkins Only Older Tickets Only Wiki Only	
20 most recent events	
2009-02-26	
01:21:04 [f6790b7c3c] Leaf Fix a memory leak that was preventing massive check-ins. (user: drh, tags: trunk)	
2009-02-21	
18:59:46 [c5f4ec0ed5] Undo inadvertant hacking changes in previous ci (should have been documentation only) (user:	
bharder, tags: trunk)18:52:26[5b29f6f65f] typo fix (user: bharder, tags: trunk)13:09:40[6ba52ae761] Documentation tweaks. No changes to code. (user: drh, tags: trunk)	
2009-02-20	
22:54:17 Ticket <u>5a13dbd275</u> add and ci/commit are inconsistent wrt "*" handling status still Open with 1 other change (user: kkinnell)	
2009-02-19	
00:11:47 Closed ticket <u>1501b8bf3b</u> : <i>repository created in linux can't be open in windows</i> plus 1 other change (user: bharder)	
2009-02-18	L
21:46:47 Ticket <u>1501b8bf3b</u> repository created in linux can't be open in windows status still Open with 2 other changes (user: anonymous)	l
2009-02-17	L
18:55:47 New ticket <u>8f1632a3f7</u> export function not yet implemented. (user: anonymous)	L
18:52:15 Ticket <u>1501b8bf3b</u> repository created in linux can't be open in windows status still Open with 1 other change (user: anonymous)	L
2009-02-16	L
14:45:03 Ticket <u>5a13dbd275</u> add and ci/commit are inconsistent wrt "*" handling status still Open with 1 other change	
 (user: bharder) 12:55:35 Ticket <u>5a13dbd275</u> add and ci/commit are inconsistent wrt "*" handling status still Open with 2 other changes (user: drh) 	4
Done	11



4 Steps Toward Low-Defect Code

- Use your whole brain
- Full coverage testing
- Good configuration management
- Don't just fix bugs fix your process



When you find a bug....

Add a test case that demonstrates the bug

- Prevents the bug from recurring

- Ask: "Are there any similar bugs elsewhere in the code?"
 - Find and fix them too adding new test cases
- Ask: "What tests or development procedures might have prevented this bug?"
 - Implement your answers

When you find a bug....

- Ask: "What is the root cause of this bug?"
 - Fix the root cause, not the specific manifestation
- Ask: "What can be done to prevent future occurrences of similar bugs?"

- Implement your answers

Summary

- Use your whole brain
 - Good comments and documentation reduce defects
- Full coverage testing
 - If it is not tested, it does not work
 - Fly what you test and test what you fly
- Good configuration management
 - Maintain Situational Awareness
- Don't just fix bugs fix your process